# **Analytic continuation and perturbative expansions in QCD**

I. Caprini<sup>1,2</sup>, J. Fischer<sup>3</sup>

 $^1$  National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, POB MG 6, 76900 Bucharest, Romania $^2\,$ The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, 34014 Trieste, Italy $^3\,$ Institute of Physics, Academy of

Received: 27 October 2001 /

Published online: 5 April 2002 – © Springer-Verlag / Società Italiana di Fisica 2002

**Abstract.** Starting from the divergence pattern of perturbative quantum chromodynamics, we propose a novel, non-power series replacing the standard expansion in powers of the renormalized coupling constant a. The coefficients of the new expansion are calculable at each finite order from the Feynman diagrams, while the expansion functions, denoted as  $W_n(a)$ , are defined by analytic continuation in the Borel complex plane. The infrared ambiguityof perturbation theoryis manifest in the prescription dependence of the  $W_n(a)$ . We prove that the functions  $W_n(a)$  have branch points and essential singularities at the origin  $a = 0$  of the complex a plane and that their perturbative expansions in powers of a are divergent, while the expansion of the correlators in terms of the  $W_n(a)$  set is convergent under quite loose conditions.

# **1 Introduction**

The standard QCD perturbative expansion in powers of the renormalization group improved coupling is plagued by several deficiencies. The series is divergent and even Borel non-summable, having a zero convergence radius [1, 2] and coefficients exhibiting asymptotically factorial growth and non-alternating signs [3–8]. The truncated, fixed order perturbative expansion is afflicted with the problem of renormalization scheme dependence and violates explicitly, due to the Landau singularities, the rigorous momentum plane analyticity imposed by general principles for confined theories [9]. Several resummations or reformulations of the perturbative expansion have been considered recently, trying to cure or reduce these deficiencies. Modified expansions which eliminate the unphysical singularities in the momentum plane were proposed in [10, 11]. Reformulations of the perturbation theoryattempting to reduce the renormalization scheme dependence of the truncated series were also proposed by several authors, using concepts like minimal sensitivity[12], effective charge [13, 14] or Padé approximants in the complex plane of the coupling constant [15–17].

Other attempts to go beyond the conventional perturbation expansion are motivated by the large order behavior of perturbation theory[18–22]. The perturbation theoryis intrinsicallyambiguous, due to the infrared regions of the Feynman diagrams. According to current views [21], the perturbative ambiguity will be compensated in the complete theory by the non-perturbative contributions. But the estimate of this intrinsic ambiguity is made difficult bythe fact that the series is divergent, and the higher terms dramatically spoil the accuracy of the result above a certain order. If one succeeded to replace the usual perturbative expansion bya convergent series (each new term improving the accuracy of the approximation instead of spoiling it), the intrinsic ambiguity of perturbation theory would be better defined. In the present work we address this problem and propose a new, non-power perturbative expansion in QCD, using the principle of analytic continuation in the Borel complex plane.

Although the perturbative series in QCD is not Borel summable, since the conditions for Borel summability [23, 24] are not satisfied, the notion of Borel transform of the QCD correlators is nevertheless useful, as its singularities in the Borel plane contain much physical information. The infrared (IR) and ultraviolet (UV) renormalons and the instantons define a generic doubly cut Borel plane, which provides an intuitive measure of the ambiguities of the perturbation theory.

The conformal mapping of the Borel plane was suggested in [25] as a technique to reduce or eliminate the ambiguities (power corrections) due to the large momenta in the Feynman integrals. Such conformal mappings, which move the UV renormalons further from the origin [26,27] exploit however only in part the known singularity structure in the Borel plane. An optimal mapping, which performs the analytic continuation in the whole doubly cut Borel plane, was considered in our previous papers [28, 29] (similar mappings were applied later in [30, 31]). By means of this technique, we defined in [29] a non-power perturbative expansion in QCD in terms of a new set of functions that fully exploit the location of the singularities in the Borel plane.

In the present paper we study the properties of these expansion functions, denoted below as  $W_n(a)$ , and the role of the modified expansion for a better understanding of the ambiguities inherent in perturbative QCD. The results are impressive: in contrast to the perturbative powers  $a^n$ , the new functions  $W_n(a)$  provide a convergent expansion of the correlator in a large domain of the coupling constant complex plane, and share in addition certain important properties with the expanded correlator itself.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we recall the basic facts we know of the singularities of the QCD correlation functions in the Borel plane and discuss the method of optimal conformal mapping. We use for illustration the Adler function in massless QCD, but the generalization to other cases is straightforward. In Sect. 3 we define the functions  $W_n(a)$  and the modified perturbative expansion replacing the standard series in powers of the coupling constant. We investigate the analytic properties of the expansion functions in the complex a plane, their asymptotic expansions for small  $a$ , and the convergence conditions for the new expansion. In the concluding section, Sect. 4, we point out the specific merits of the modified expansion for defining the intrinsic ambiguityof perturbation theory.

## **2 Conformal mapping of the Borel plane**

## **2.1 Singularities in the Borel plane**

We consider the Adler function in massless QCD:

$$
D = -4\pi^2 s \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\right) \Pi(s),\tag{1}
$$

where  $\Pi(s)$  is the amplitude of the electromagnetic current–current correlation function,

$$
\Pi^{\mu\nu} = i \int d^4x e^{-ipx} \langle 0|T(j^{\mu}(x)j^{\nu}(0))|0\rangle
$$
  
=  $(g^{\mu\nu}p^2 - p^{\mu}p^{\nu})\Pi(s), \quad s = p^2.$  (2)

In perturbative QCD, the function  $D$  can be formally expanded in powers of the renormalized coupling constant  $\alpha_{\rm s}(\mu^2)$ :

$$
D = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n \left( \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{\pi} \right)^n, \tag{3}
$$

where the coefficients  $D_n$  depend on the external momentum squared s, and are renormalization scheme and scale dependent. Certain classes of Feynman diagrams suggest that they are factorially increasing with  $n$ ; therefore the series (3) is divergent, and has to be given a precise meaning.

Among various summation techniques of power series [23, 24], the Borel method received much interest in recent years, although the mathematical conditions required for its use are not satisfied in QCD [1, 2]. As mentioned in the Introduction, even if the Borel summation method is not applicable it is useful to examine and exploit the singularitystructure of the Borel transform. In the present paper

we use this idea, combined with the principle of analytic continuation, in order to define an improved perturbation expansion in QCD.

The Borel transform of the Adler function is defined bythe power series

$$
B(u,s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n u^n,
$$
\n(4)

with  $b_n$  related to the original perturbative coefficients appearing in (3) by

$$
b_n = \frac{D_n}{\beta_0^n n!},\tag{5}
$$

where  $\beta_0$  is the first coefficient of the  $\beta$  function. Then the Adler function given by the series  $(3)$  can be formally expressed as the Laplace transform

$$
D(s,a) = \frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-u/a} B(u,s) \mathrm{d}u,
$$
 (6)

where  $a = \beta_0 \alpha_s(\mu^2)/\pi$ .

The Borel transform  $B(u, s)$  is scheme and scale dependent. As discussed in [21], in the large  $N_f$  limit the momentum dependence can be factorized as

$$
B(u,s) = \left(\frac{-se^C}{\mu^2}\right)^{-u} B_0(u),\tag{7}
$$

where  $C$  is a scheme-dependent constant. The power factor in (7) combines with the exponential in (6) in a renormalization scheme and scale independent quantity, the remaining factor  $B_0(u)$  also being scheme and scale independent. The validity of a similar factorization beyond the large  $N_f$  limit is an open question [21]. However, it is generallyassumed that the position of the singularities of the function  $B(u, s)$  in the Borel u plane is independent of renormalization scheme and scale, and also of the external momenta (as discussed in [21], this is expected to be the case at least in the so-called "regular schemes").

The method developed in the present paper is based on the analytic continuation in the Borel plane, requiring therefore the knowledge of the singularity structure in this plane. We shall assume that the location of the singularities of the Borel transform is known and is independent of the renormalization scheme. For the Adler function, the coefficients  $D_n$  are assumed to have the specific large order increase

$$
D_n \sim \sum_k C_k n! n^{\delta_k} \left(\frac{\pi \beta_0}{k}\right)^n, \tag{8}
$$

where the k in the sum are integers, with  $C_k$  and  $n_k$ depending in general on s and  $\mu^2$ . This behavior leads to branch point singularities for the function  $B$  in the u plane, along the negative axis (the ultraviolet renormalons) and the positive axis (the infrared renormalons). Specifically, the branch cuts are situated along the rays  $u \le -1$  and  $u \ge 2$  (see Fig. 1), and the nature of the first



**Fig. 1.** The Borel plane for the Adler function

branch points was established in [25, 32]. The additional singularities due to the instanton–anti-instanton pairs [33] are situated at larger positive values of  $u$ , and will not influence the method discussed in this paper.

## **2.2 Optimal conformal mapping**

The singularities of the Borel transform produce the perturbative ambiguities of the QCD correlators. For the Adler function, the Taylor expansion (4) of the Borel transform converges on the disk  $|u| < 1$  that reaches the nearest singularity, which is the first UV renormalon. The corresponding power correction ambiguity is however related to large momenta in the Feynman diagrams, and can be minimized or eliminated in QCD within perturbation theory. As suggested in [25], this can be achieved byan analytic continuation outside the convergence circle, bymeans of a conformal mapping. This method was investigated in [26, 27], using the mapping

$$
v(u) = \frac{\sqrt{1+u} - 1}{\sqrt{1+u} + 1},
$$
\n(9)

and the corresponding expansion

$$
B(u,s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n v^n(u),
$$
\n(10)

instead of the power series (4). The mapping (9) transforms the UV cut  $u \leq -1$  in the u plane onto the unit circle  $|v| = 1$  in the v plane, while the IR cut  $u \geq 2$  remains inside the disk  $|v| < 1$  (see Fig. 2). As a consequence, the series (10) converges inside the small circle, which touches the image of the lowest IR renormalon in the  $v$  plane. Thus, although the UV ambiguities (power corrections) are in principle eliminated by this method, the series  $(10)$ remains divergent along the positive semiaxis, the IR cut being outside the convergence circle.

The analytic continuation of the perturbative Borel transform into the whole holomorphy domain  $\mathcal D$  can be performed by an optimal conformal mapping,  $w(u)$ , which maps the whole  $D$  onto the unit disk [34]. For the Adler function this mapping is [28]

$$
w(u) = \frac{\sqrt{1+u} - \sqrt{1-u/2}}{\sqrt{1+u} + \sqrt{1-u/2}}.
$$
 (11)



**Fig. 2.** The complex v plane. The UV cut is mapped upon the unit circle, while the IR cut is situated inside it. The convergence domain of the series (10) is limited by  $u = 2$ , the lowest branch point of the IR cuts family



**Fig. 3.** The complex w plane. Both the UV cut and the IR cut are mapped upon the boundaryunit circle. Inside it, there is no singularity. The convergence domain is the whole (cut) Borel plane

The two branch points of  $w(u)$ ,  $u = -1$  and  $u = 2$ , coincide with the lowest branch points of the Borel transform  $B(u, s)$ , while the corresponding two cuts,  $u \leq -1$  and  $u > 2$ , cover the other branch points of B. As shown in Fig. 3, these two rays are mapped by (11) onto the boundary circle  $|w| = 1$  of the unit disk in the w plane. The inverse of the mapping (11) is

$$
u = \frac{8w}{3w^2 - 2w + 3} = \frac{8w}{3(w - \zeta)(w - \zeta^*)},
$$
 (12)

where  $\zeta = (2^{1/2} + i)/(2^{1/2} - i)$  and its complex conjugate  $\zeta^*$  are the images of  $u = \infty$  on the unit circle.

As proposed in  $[28]$ , we expand  $B$  in powers of the variable w:

$$
B(u,s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n w^n(u),
$$
\n(13)

where the coefficients  $c_n$  can be obtained from the coefficients  $b_k, k \leq n$ , using (4) and (11). By expanding  $B(u, s)$ according to  $(13)$  one makes full use of its holomorphy domain, because the known part of it (i.e. the first Riemannian sheet) is mapped onto the convergence disk<sup>1</sup>. The series (13) converges inside the whole disk  $|w| < 1$ , i.e. in the whole cut  $u$  plane, up to the cuts. A very important property, proved in [34], is that the expansion (13) has the fastest asymptotic (large order) convergence rate, compared to anyother expansion in powers of a variable that maps onlya part of the holomorphydomain onto the unit disk. We recall that the large order convergence rate of a power series is equal to that of the geometrical series with the quotient  $r/R$ , r being the distance of the point from the origin, and  $R$  the convergence radius. The proof given in [34] consists in comparing the magnitudes of the ratio  $r/R$  for a certain point in different complex planes, corresponding to different conformal mappings. When the whole analyticity domain  $D$  of the function is mapped on a disk, the value of  $r/R$  is minimal [34].

## **3 Modified QCD perturbative expansion**

#### **3.1 Non-power expansion functions in QCD**

The expansion (13) of the Borel transform suggests the expansion of the Adler function in the series [28, 29]

$$
D(s,a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n W_n(a), \qquad (14)
$$

where the functions  $W_n(a)$  are defined as Laplace transforms of  $w^n(u)$ :

$$
W_n(a) = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^\infty e^{-u/a} w^n(u) \mathrm{d}u. \tag{15}
$$

At each finite truncation order  $N$ , the expansion  $(14)$  is obtained by inserting the series (13) into the Laplace integral (6) and exchanging the order of summation and integration. This procedure is triviallyallowed at anyfinite integer  $N \geq 0$ . For  $N \to \infty$ , however, the new expansion (14) represents a non-trivial step out of perturbation theory, replacing the perturbative powers  $a^n$  by the functions  $W_n(a)$ . As proved in [29], the expansion (14) converges under certain rather general conditions (we discuss this point below in Sect. 3.4). The convergence of the series  $(14)$  is our key argument in favor of the stepping out of the standard perturbation theory.

Our procedure is an obvious generalization of the conformal mapping method proposed in [36] for Borel summable functions. Formally, the expansion (14) is obtained from the standard perturbative expansion (3) by replacing the coefficients  $b_n$ , appearing in the Taylor series (4), by the coefficients  $c_n$  of the improved expansion (13) and the perturbative functions  $n!a^n$  (which multiply the coefficients  $b_n$ ) by the new functions  $W_n(a)$  defined by the integral (15). Actually, this integral is not well defined since the branch point  $u = 2$  of the integrand is encountered along the integration range. This is a manifestation of the intrinsic ambiguity of the perturbation theory produced by the infrared renormalons. In defining the functions  $W_n$ , we shall use the same prescription as the one adopted in  $(6)$  for the correlator D itself. We shall consider in particular the functions

$$
W_n^{(\pm)}(a) = \frac{1}{a} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\pm}} e^{-u/a} w^n(u) \mathrm{d}u, \tag{16}
$$

where  $\mathcal{C}_+$  ( $\mathcal{C}_-$ ) are lines parallel to the real positive axis, slightly above (below) it, and the principal value  $(PV)$ prescription

$$
W_n^{(\text{PV})}(a) = \frac{1}{2} [W_n^{(+)}(a) + W_n^{(-)}(a)]. \tag{17}
$$

In what follows we shall examine the expansion functions  $W_n(a)$ , showing that in many respects they resemble the expanded function  $D(s, a)$  itself.

## **3.2 Analytic properties of**  $W_n(a)$ **in the complex** *a* **plane**

The problem of the analytic properties of the QCD correlators in the coupling constant plane is very complex. 't Hooft [1] and Khuri [2] showed that renormalization group invariance and the multiparticle branch points on the time-like axis of the momentum plane  $s = p^2$  imply a complicated accumulation of singularities near the point  $a = 0$ . Since the proof uses a non-perturbative argument (multiparticle states generated byconfinement in massless QCD), it is difficult to see this feature in perturbation theory, even in partial resummations that take into account infinite classes of Feynman diagrams.

In the present subsection we shall discuss the analytic properties of the expansion functions  $W_n(a)$  defined by the integrals (16). Since the integrand is bounded,  $|w^n(u)| <$ 1, the integrals converge for Rea > 0, i.e.  $-\pi/2 + \delta \leq \psi \leq$  $\pi/2-\delta$ , for  $\delta > 0$  arbitrarily small, where  $\psi$  is the phase of  $a(a=|a|e^{i\psi})$ . Let us consider the functions  $W_n^{(+)}(a)$  and take first a complex in the first quadrant, i.e.  $0 < \psi <$  $\pi/2$ . Then we can rotate the integration contour  $\mathcal{C}_+$  in (16) towards the upper quadrant by an angle  $\psi$ , since the integrand is analytic. More exactly, we apply the Cauchy theorem for a closed contour going along  $C_+$  up to  $|u|=R$ , continued with the sector of the circle  $u = Re^{i\phi}$ , with  $0 < \phi < \psi$ , and the segment  $u = \tau e^{i\psi}$ , with  $0 < \tau < R$ . This gives

$$
\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{R} e^{-u/a} w^n(u) du
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{Ri}{a} \int_{0}^{\psi} e^{i\phi} \exp\left[\frac{-Re^{i(\phi-\psi)}}{|a|}\right] w^n (Re^{i\phi}) d\phi
$$
\n(18)

<sup>1</sup> The conformal mapping used here is confined to the first sheet. If the branch point structure and analyticity on other sheets were known, a multi-sheet mapping could be used which simultaneously uniformizes several branch points [35]

$$
= \frac{e^{i\psi}}{a} \int\limits_{0}^{R} e^{-\tau/|a|} w^n(\tau e^{i\psi}) d\tau = \int\limits_{0}^{R/|a|} e^{-t} w^n(ta) dt.
$$

The second integral can be estimated as follows

$$
\frac{R}{|a|} \int_{0}^{\psi} \exp\left[-\frac{R\cos(\phi-\psi)}{|a|}\right] d\phi
$$
  

$$
\leq \psi \frac{R}{|a|} \exp\left[-\frac{R\cos\psi}{|a|}\right],
$$
 (19)

because  $|w(u)| < 1$  and  $0 < \phi < \psi$ . Since  $\psi < \pi/2$ , the expression (19) vanishes for  $R \to \infty$ . Taking this limit in (18) one obtains the equality

$$
W_n^{(+)}(a) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} w^n(ta) dt, \quad 0 < \psi < \pi/2. \tag{20}
$$

Let us take now a in the fourth quadrant, i.e.  $-\pi/2$  <  $\psi$  < 0. A representation of the form (20) can be obtained bymaking a rotation of the integration contour into the fourth quadrant up to the negative angle  $\psi$ . But this rotation is not allowed for the contour  $\mathcal{C}_+$ , since one encounters the branch cut of the integrand  $w<sup>n</sup>(u)$ . By the Cauchy theorem it is however easy to relate  $W_n^{(+)}$  to the function  $W_n^{(-)}$ , defined by an integral along the contour  $\mathcal{C}_-$ . Taking into account the discontinuity of the function  $w(u)$  defined in (11), we have

$$
W_n^{(+)}(a) = W_n^{(-)}(a) + \frac{2i}{a} \int_{2}^{\infty} e^{-u/a} \text{Im}[w^n(u)] du, \tag{21}
$$

where

Im[
$$
w^n(u)
$$
] =  $\left(\frac{4+u}{3u}\right)^n$  (22)  
\n
$$
\times \sum_{p=0}^{\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]} (-1)^p C_n^{2p+1} \left[\frac{\sqrt{(1+u)\left(\frac{u}{2}-1\right)}}{1+u/4}\right]^{2p+1}
$$

is the imaginary part for  $u > 2$  when u approaches the cut from above. It is convenient to make the change of variable  $u = 2 + y$  in the last integral of (21), writing it as

$$
2i\frac{e^{-2/a}}{a}\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}e^{-y/a}f_n(y)dy,
$$
 (23)

where we denoted

$$
f_n(y) = \left(\frac{1 + \frac{y}{6}}{1 + \frac{y}{2}}\right)^n
$$
  
 
$$
\times \sum_{p=0}^{\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]} (-1)^p C_n^{2p+1} \left[\frac{\sqrt{(3 + y)y/2}}{3/2 + y/4}\right]^{2p+1}.
$$
 (24)

We notice that the  $f_n(y)$  are algebraic functions, with poles and branch points for  $y \leq 0$  and cuts which can be taken along  $y < 0$ , so the integral (23) is defined. Moreover, we can rotate the integration axis to the lower quadrant, up to the angle  $\psi < 0$ . This rotation is allowed also for the function  $\widetilde{W}_n^{(-)}$  appearing in (21), which can be written in a form identical with the r.h.s. of (20). Collecting all the terms we obtain the expression

$$
W_n^{(+)}(a) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} w^n(ta) dt + 2ie^{-2/a} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} f_n(ta) dt,
$$
  

$$
-\pi/2 < \psi < 0.
$$
 (25)

We can use similar arguments for the functions  $W_n^{(-)}$ : the contour  $\mathcal{C}_-$  can be rotated towards the fourth quadrant for negative  $\psi$ , giving

$$
W_n^{(-)}(a) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} w^n(ta) dt, \quad -\pi/2 < \psi < 0, \quad (26)
$$

while for  $\psi > 0$  one must first cross the real axis, picking up the contribution of the discontinuityof the integrand. This gives

$$
W_n^{(-)}(a) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} w^n(ta) dt - 2ie^{-2/a} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} f_n(ta) dt,
$$
  
0  $\lt \psi < \pi/2.$  (27)

For the PV prescription defined in (17), we obtain from (20) and (27):

$$
W_n^{(\text{PV})}(a) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} w^n(ta) dt - i e^{-2/a} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} f_n(ta) dt,
$$
  
0  $< \psi < \pi/2,$  (28)

and from (25) and (26):

$$
W_n^{(\text{PV})}(a) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} w^n(ta) dt + i e^{-2/a} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} f_n(ta) dt,
$$
  

$$
-\pi/2 < \psi < 0.
$$
 (29)

The expressions  $(20)$  and  $(25)$ – $(29)$  define holomorphic functions in the right half plane  $\text{Re}a > 0$ , outside the real positive axis. When the coupling approaches this axis from above, i.e. for  $a + i\epsilon$ , with  $a > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , the expression (28) becomes

$$
W_n^{(\text{PV})}(a + i\epsilon) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \text{Re}[w^n(ta)]dt,\tag{30}
$$

since, by the definition  $(24)$ , the imaginary part of the first integral is exactly cancelled by the last term in  $(28)$ . Similarly, for  $a - i\epsilon$  the expression (29) gives

$$
W_n^{(\text{PV})}(a - i\epsilon) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \text{Re}[w^n(ta)]dt,\tag{31}
$$

where we used the reality condition  $w(u) = w^*(u^*)$  obvious from (11). Thus, the functions  $W_n^{(PV)}(a)$  have no discontinuity along the positive axis of the complex  $a$  plane, where they take real values.

The expressions (28) and (29) can be analytically continued from the right half plane to the left one,  $\text{Re}a < 0$ , up to the negative real axis, since the integrals converge and the integrands have no singularities along the integration contours. When a approaches the negative real axis, the imaginary part of the first term in  $(28)$  (or  $(29)$ ) is given by the cut of  $w(u)$  along the negative axis, and it is no longer cancelled by the imaginary part of the last term, given by the expression  $(24)$  analytically continued to negative values of the argument. So, the functions  $W_n^{(PV)}(a)$ have branch cuts for  $a < 0$ . The real analyticity of the function  $w(u)$  implies however the equality

$$
W_n^{(\text{PV})}(a + i\epsilon) = (W_n^{(\text{PV})}(a - i\epsilon))^*.
$$
 (32)

Therefore, the  $W_n^{(PV)}(a)$  are real analytic functions in the whole complex a plane, except for a cut along the real negative axis and an essential singularity at  $a = 0$ , seen explicitly in the representations  $(28)$  and  $(29)$ .

For other prescriptions the reality conditions written above are not satisfied. Thus, approaching the positive real axis from above and from below in (20) and (25), respectively, we obtain

$$
W_n^{(+)}(a \pm i\epsilon) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \{ \text{Re}[w^n(ta)] + i \text{Im}[w^n(ta)] \} dt, (33)
$$

with Im $[w^n(t)]$  defined in (22). The functions  $W_n^{(+)}(a)$ have no discontinuity along the positive axis, but they are complex for positive values of the coupling. Theycan also be extended into the whole complex plane, except for the essential singularity at  $a = 0$  and a branch cut along the negative axis.

At each finite order of truncation in (14), the expanded function

$$
D^{[N]}(s,a) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} c_n W_n(a)
$$
 (34)

will have the same analyticity properties as the  $W_n(a)$ . Thus, in the PV prescription, the  $D^{[N]}(s, a)$  have a branch cut along the negative real axis and an essential singularity at the origin  $a = 0$ . It is instructive to see what are the consequences for the momentum plane analyticity, taking the renormalization point  $\mu^2 = -s > 0$  and the one loop expression of the running coupling  $a(s)=1/\ln(-s/\Lambda^2)$ . With the usual definition of the logarithm on the first sheet  $(\ln(-s) > 0$  for  $s < 0)$ , the positive real axis  $a > 0$ corresponds to the part  $s < -\Lambda^2$  of the space-like axis in the s plane. We obtained no branch cuts in this region, in agreement with the requirements of unitarityand causality for QCD [9]. On the other hand, the negative real axis  $a < 0$  corresponds to the Landau region  $-A^2 < s < 0$ . The  $W_n(a)$  have a branch cut along this region, obtained explicitlybyanalytic continuation using the representations

(28) and (29). So, in finite orders, the new expansion (14), calculated outside the Landau region, can be analytically continued inside the Landau region, where it exhibits a branch cut. Similar properties were obtained in the large  $\beta_0$  limit in [37].

## **3.3 Asymptotic expansion of**  $W_n(a)$  for small  $a$

In this subsection we investigate the perturbative expansion of the functions  $W_n$  in powers of a. As shown in the previous subsection,  $W_n(a)$  have singularities at  $a = 0$ , so their Taylor expansions around the origin will be divergent series. We take first a real and positive. The asymptotic expansion is obtained by applying Watson's lemma [38]. Specifically, we consider the Taylor expansion

$$
w^{n}(u) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \xi_{k}^{(n)} u^{k},
$$
\n(35)

which is convergent for  $|u| < 1$ . The sum begins with  $k =$ *n* since, as follows from (11), the derivatives  $(w^n)^{(k)}(0)$ vanish for  $k < n$  (in particular  $\xi_n^{(n)} = (3/8)^n$ ). Choosing a positive number  $X < 1$ , we can express  $w^n(u)$  for  $0 \leq$  $u \leq X$  as

$$
w^{n}(u) = \sum_{k=n}^{N} \xi_{k}^{(n)} u^{k} + R_{N}^{(n)},
$$
\n(36)

with a bounded remainder

$$
|R_N^{(n)}| < M_n u^{N+1}.\tag{37}
$$

We write now  $W_n(a)$  as

$$
W_n(a) = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^X e^{-u/a} w^n(u) du + \frac{1}{a} \int_X^\infty e^{-u/a} w^n(u) du, \quad (38)
$$

and insert in the first term the expansion (36). This gives

$$
W_n(a) = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^X e^{-u/a} \sum_{k=n}^N \xi_k^{(n)} u^k du
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{M_n}{a} \int_0^X e^{-u/a} \theta_n u^{N+1} du + \frac{1}{a} \int_X^\infty e^{-u/a} w^n(u) du, (39)
$$

where  $|\theta_n|$  < 1. Since  $|w^n(u)|$  < 1, the last term in (39) is bounded:

$$
\left| \frac{1}{a} \int\limits_X^{\infty} e^{-u/a} w^n(u) du \right| < e^{-X/a}.\tag{40}
$$

On the other hand, for fixed  $k > 0$  we have

$$
\frac{1}{a}\int_{0}^{X}e^{-u/a}u^{k}du = k!a^{k} - \frac{1}{a}\int_{X}^{\infty}e^{-u/a}u^{k}du.
$$
 (41)



**Fig. 4.** The functions  $W_m(a)$  defined with the PV prescription (17),  $m = 1, \ldots, 6$  for a from 0 to 0.8. Unlabelled are the perturbative expansion functions  $n!a^n$  for  $n = 1, \ldots, 6$ 

In the last term we make the change of variable  $u = X(1+)$ y) and use the inequality  $(1 + y)^k \le e^{ky}$  for  $k > 0$  [38], which implies that the following estimates

$$
\frac{1}{a} \int_{X}^{\infty} e^{-u/a} u^{k} du = \frac{X^{k+1}}{a} e^{-X/a} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-Xy/a} (1+y)^{k} dy
$$

$$
\leq \frac{X^{k+1}}{a} e^{-X/a} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y(X/a-k)} dy
$$

$$
= \frac{X^{k+1}}{a(X/a-k)} e^{-X/a}, \qquad (42)
$$

are valid for fixed k and small a. Therefore  $(41)$  can be written as

$$
\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{X} e^{-u/a} u^k du = k! a^k + O\left(e^{-X/a}\right). \tag{43}
$$

Byusing this estimate in (39) and taking into account the inequality  $(40)$ , we obtain

$$
W_n(a) = \sum_{k=n}^{N} \xi_k^{(n)} k! a^k + \tilde{M}_n(N+1)! a^{N+1} + O\left(e^{-X/a}\right),\tag{44}
$$

where  $\tilde{M}_n$  is independent of N. One has therefore the asymptotic series

$$
W_n(a) \sim \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \xi_k^{(n)} k! a^k, \quad a \to 0_+.
$$
 (45)

It is easyto extend the above arguments for complex values of a in the right half plane,  $|\psi| \leq \pi/2 - \delta$  with  $\delta > 0$ .

The expansion (45) is independent of the prescription required in the definition of  $W_n$ . (It is formally obtained by inserting the expansion (35) in (15) and integrating term by term from 0 to  $\infty$ .) We notice that the first term of each  $W_n(a)$  is proportional to  $n!a^n$  with a positive coefficient,



**Fig. 5.** The same graph as in Fig. 4, with a in the interval  $(0, 6)$ 

thereby retaining a fundamental property of perturbation theory. But the series (45) are divergent: indeed, since the expansions (35) have their convergence radii equal to 1, then for any  $R > 1$  there are infinitely many k such that  $|\xi_k^{(n)}| > R^{-k}$  [38]. Actually, the divergence of the series (45) is not surprising, in view of the analyticity properties derived in the previous subsection.

For illustration we give below the expansions of the first  $W_n(a)$ :

$$
W_1(a) \sim 0.375a - 0.187a^2 + 0.457a^3
$$
  
\n
$$
- 1.08a^4 + 4.32a^5 + \dots,
$$
  
\n
$$
W_2(a) \sim 0.281a^2 - 0.422a^3 + 1.58a^4
$$
  
\n
$$
- 5.80a^5 + 29.78a^6 + \dots,
$$
  
\n
$$
W_3(a) \sim 0.316a^3 - 0.949a^4 + 5.04a^5
$$
  
\n
$$
- 25.95a^6 + 167.99a^7 + \dots
$$
 (46)

The higher powers of  $a$  become quickly important in  $(46)$ , the expansion coefficients eventually adopting factorial growth. For instance, the 5th order coefficients in (46) all equal 5 approximately, while the 10th order ones are between  $5 \times 10^4$  and  $9 \times 10^4$ , with alternating signs. The functions  $W_n(a)$  have divergent perturbative expansions, resembling the expanded QCD correlation function D.

Although the series (46) are divergent, the functions  $W_n(a)$  are well defined (once a prescription has been adopted), and bounded in the right half plane  $\text{Re}a > 0$ :

$$
|W_n(a)| \le \frac{1}{|a|} \int_0^\infty e^{-u \text{Re}a/|a|^2} |w^n(u)| du < \frac{|a|}{\text{Re}a},
$$
 (47)

since  $|w^n(u)| < 1$ . For a real and positive the right hand side of (47) is equal to unity. We indicate in Figs. 4 and 5 the shape of the first functions  $W_n$ , calculated with the PV prescription, for real values of a.

## **3.4 Large-order behavior of** *Wn***(***a***) and convergence conditions**

The convergence of the series (14) is not a priori obvious. Indeed, the expansion (13) of the Borel transform in powers of w converges for points  $|w| < 1$  arbitrarily close to the integration axis, but not necessarilyon the boundary. Intuitively, one may expect that the convergence depends on the strength of the singularities of the Borel transform.

We investigated the convergence problem in [29], by estimating the behavior of  $W_n(a)$  for large n with the method of steepest descent [36, 38]. The functions  $W_n^{(\pm)}(a)$ defined in (16) can be written as

$$
W_n^{(\pm)}(a) = \int_{C_{\pm}} e^{-F_n(u)} du,
$$
 (48)

where

$$
F_n(u) = \frac{u}{a} - n \ln w(u). \tag{49}
$$

The saddle points of the integrand are given by the equation

$$
\frac{w'(u)}{w(u)} = \frac{1}{an},\tag{50}
$$

which has four complex solutions. The technique applied in [29] consists in rotating the integration axis in the complex u plane, without crossing singularities, up to the nearest saddle points (located in the first (fourth) quadrant for  $C_{+}$  ( $C_{-}$ ), respectively). Omitting the details given in [29], we quote the asymptotic behavior of  $W_n^{(+)}(a)$  for  $n \to \infty$ 

$$
W_n^{(+)}(a) \approx n^{1/4} \zeta^n e^{-2^{3/4} (1+i)(n/a)^{1/2}},\tag{51}
$$

with  $\zeta$  defined below (12). Similarly, the large *n* behavior of  $W_n^{(-)}(a)$  is

$$
W_n^{(-)}(a) \approx n^{1/4} (\zeta^*)^n e^{-2^{3/4} (1-i)(n/a)^{1/2}}.
$$
 (52)

These expressions are valid for complex  $a, a = |a|e^{i\psi},$ where  $\psi$  satisfies the inequality [29]

$$
|\psi| < \pi/6. \tag{53}
$$

The convergence of the expansion (14) depends on the ratio

$$
\left| \frac{c_n W_n^{(\pm)}(a)}{c_{n-1} W_{n-1}^{(\pm)}(a)} \right|.
$$
\n(54)

As discussed in [28], if the coefficients  $c_n$  satisfy the condition

$$
|c_n| < C e^{\epsilon n^{1/2}} \tag{55}
$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , then the expansion (14) converges for a complex in the domain

$$
Re[(1 \pm i)a^{-1/2}] > 0,
$$
\n(56)

which is equivalent to  $|\psi| \leq \pi/2 - \delta$ . Since the condition (53) is more restrictive, it follows that, if condition (55) is satisfied, the series (14) converges in the sector defined by (53).

The coefficients  $c_n$  are obtained by inserting into the Taylor series  $(4)$  the expansions in powers of w of the function  $u(w)$  defined in (12). A precise estimate of the behavior of the  $c_n$  starting from a general form of the standard perturbative coefficients  $D_n$  is difficult to obtain. In the special case of a Borel transform with branch point singularities, considered in [29], one can derive the generic behavior

$$
|c_n| \le C'n^{\xi} = C'e^{\xi \ln n}, \quad \xi > 0,
$$
 (57)

which satisfies the convergence condition (55). Whether this bound is valid or not in general in QCD is an open problem, but (57) nevertheless represents a rather conservative assumption.

In Sect. 3.2 we established analyticity properties for the functions  $W_n(a)$  in the complex a plane. The expanded function  $D(s, a)$  will be holomorphic in the domain where the series (14) converges uniformly. Using the results of Sect. 3.2, we conclude that  $D(s, a)$  is expected to be holomorphic inside the sector  $(53)$  of the complex a plane, with an essential singularity at the origin. This region is larger than the horn shaped domain found in  $[1, 2]$ . The difference can be easily understood: indeed, the multiparticle singularities of the correlators in the momentum plane for  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , essential for the horn shaped boundaries preventing the analytic continuation near  $a = 0$  [1,2], cannot appear in perturbative massless QCD, which is the frame adopted here.

## **4 Concluding remarks**

In the present paper we used the analytic continuation in the Borel plane to define a modified perturbative expansion in QCD, the *n*th order coefficient  $c_n$  being calculable from the standard perturbative coefficients  $D_k, k \leq n$ . The new expansion functions  $W_n(a)$  replacing the powers of the coupling constant are defined in (15) as the Laplace transforms of the *n*th power of the function  $w(u)$ , which performs the conformal mapping of the whole doubly cut Borel plane onto a unit disk.

The definition of the integral (15) is ambiguous due to the branch cut  $u \geq 2$  of the integrand along the integration path. We propose to define  $W_n(a)$  by choosing the same prescription as that used to define the expanded function  $D(s)$  itself according to (6), so as to make the  $W_n(a)$  resemble  $D(s)$  as much as possible. The form of the  $W_n(a)$ is not accidental or chosen ad hoc: it is imposed bythe analyticity properties of the Borel transform, which in turn are determined by the information contained in the perturbative coefficients of the QCD correlation functions at all orders.

We proved that

- (i) the functions  $W_n(a)$  are analytic in the complex a plane, with essential and branch point singularities at  $a = 0$  (Sect. 3.2);
- (ii) their expansions in powers of the coupling constant a are asymptotic divergent series, the lowest order term of  $W_n(a)$  being proportional to  $a^n$  (Sect. 3.3);
- (iii) the expansion (14) of the correlation function in terms of the  $W_n(a)$  set converges under plausible conditions, such that are expected to be satisfied in

perturbative QCD (Sect. 3.4; see also our previous paper  $[29]$ ). By this we avoid the fatal divergence of the standard perturbative expansion: for the new expansion in terms of the  $W_n(a)$ , the addition of higher-order terms does not damage the result, as is the case with the series in powers of a.

The prescription required for calculating the Laplace integral in the definition (15) reflects the intrinsic ambiguityof perturbation theory, which originates from the infrared regions of the Feynman diagrams and is manifest in the presence of the IR renormalon cut. Once a definition of the perturbative ambiguity is adopted (as the difference between two integration prescriptions, for instance), the series (14) provides a systematic calculation of this ambiguityat higher orders, since the expansion in terms of the  $W_n(a)$  is convergent. This is to be contrasted with the standard perturbative expansion, where the ambiguity is defined in a less precise way by truncating the series at some finite order, beyond which the terms start to increase. Unlike in this procedure, the effects of the UV and IR parts of the Feynman diagrams have been disentangled in our approach.

Thus, using the analytic continuation in the Borel plane, we have been able to separate two problems that are usually interconnected: the divergence of the series (which can be solved within perturbation theory), and the problem of the intrinsic infrared ambiguityof perturbation theory. This ambiguity, expressed in the prescription dependence of the correlator and the expansion functions  $W_n(a)$ , can be removed only when non-perturbative effects are included.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Prof. S. Randjbar-Daemi and the High Energy Section of the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics in Trieste for hospitality. One of the authors (J.F.) is indebted to Prof. G. Altarelli for hospitality at the CERN Theory Division. Interesting discussions with Dr. Ivo Vrkoč on the mathematical aspects of this work (in particular his advice on the methods used in Sect. 3.2) are gratefully acknowledged. This paper was partially supported by the Romanian Academy, under the Grant 49/2000, and bythe Ministryof Industryand Trade of the Czech Republic, project RP-4210/69.

## **References**

- 1. G. 't Hooft, in: The Whys of Subnuclear Physics, Proceedings of the 15th International School on Subnuclear Physics, Erice, Sicily, 1977, edited by A. Zichichi (Plenum Press, New York 1979), p. 943
- 2. N.N. Khuri, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 2285 (1981)
- 3. V. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B **385**, 452 (1992)
- 4. A.H. Mueller, in: QCD Twenty Years Later, Aachen 1992, edited byP. Zerwas, H.A. Kastrup (World Scientific, Singapore 1992)
- 5. M. Beneke, V.I. Zakharov, Phys. Lett. B **312**, 340 (1993)
- 6. G. Grunberg, Phys. Lett. B **304**, 183 (1993)
- 7. M. Beneke, Phys. Lett. B **307**, 154 (1993); Nucl. Phys. B **405**, 424 (1993)
- 8. D. Broadhurst, Z. Phys. C **58**, 339 (1993)
- 9. R. Oehme, πN Newsletters, **7**, 1 (1992); Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **10**, 1995 (1995)
- 10. K.A. Milton, I.L. Solovtsov, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 5925 (1997)
- 11. D.V. Shirkov, Theor. Math. Phys. **127**, 409 (2001)
- 12. P.M. Stevenson, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 2916 (1981)
- 13. G. Grunberg, Phys. Lett. B **95**, 70 (1980)
- 14. C.J. Maxwell, Phys. Lett. B **409**, 450 (1997)
- 15. M.A. Samuel, J. Ellis, M. Karliner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4389 (1995)
- 16. J. Ellis, M. Karliner, M.A. Samuel, Phys. Lett. B **400**, 197 (1997); S.J. Brodsky, J. Ellis, E. Gardi, M. Karliner, M. Samuel, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 6980 (1997)
- 17. U.D. Jentschura, J. Becher, E.J. Weniger, G. Soff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2446 (2000)
- 18. P. Ball, M. Beneke, V.M. Braun, Nucl. Phys. B **452**, 563 (1995)
- 19. M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 5924 (1995)
- 20. C.N. Lovett-Turner, C.J. Maxwell, Nucl. Phys. B **452**, 188 (1995)
- 21. M. Beneke, Phys. Rep. **317**, 1 (1999)
- 22. M. Beneke, V.M. Braun, hep-ph/0010208, Boris Ioffe Festschrift At the Frontier of Particle Physics/Handbook of QCD, edited byM. Shifman (World Scientific, Singapore 2001)
- 23. G.N. Hardy, Divergent series (Oxford University Press, New York 1949)
- 24. J. Fischer, Fortsch. Phys. **42**, 665 (1994); Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **12**, 3625 (1997)
- 25. A. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B **250**, 327 (1985)
- 26. G. Altarelli, P. Nason, G. Ridolfi, Z. Phys. C **68**, 257 (1995)
- 27. D.E. Soper, L.R. Surguladze, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 4566 (1996)
- 28. I. Caprini, J. Fischer, Phys. Rev D **60**, 054014 (1999)
- 29. I. Caprini, J. Fischer, Phys. Rev D **62**, 054007 (2000)
- 30. U.D. Jentschura, E.J. Weniger, G. Soff, J. Phys. G **26**, 1545 (2000)
- 31. G. Cvetiˇc, T. Lee, Phys. Rev D **54**, 014030 (2001)
- 32. M. Beneke, V.M. Braun, N. Kivel, Phys. Lett. B **404**, 315 (1997)
- 33. L.N. Lipatov, Sov. Phys. JETP **45**, 216 (1977)
- 34. S. Ciulli, J. Fischer, Nucl. Phys. **24**, 465 (1961)
- 35. I. Ciulli, S. Ciulli, J. Fischer, Nuovo Cim. **23**, 1129 (1962)
- 36. J. Zinn-Justin, Quantum field theoryand critical phenomena, 2nd edition (Oxford University Press 1995), p. 923– 928
- 37. I. Caprini, M. Neubert, JHEP **03**, 007 (1999)
- 38. H. Jeffreys, Asymptotic approximations (Clarendon Press, Oxford 1962)